

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE/Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. J 09/618,500 07/18/00 HAGAN RPC.0515-PUS **EXAMINER** QM12/0705 -STEPHANIE M MANDFIELD POLLARD, S PAPER NUMBER BROOKS & KUSHMAN P C ART UNIT 1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD MI 48075-1351 3727 **DATE MAILED:** 07/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

: 1

Application No.

09/618,500

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Steven Pollard

Art Unit 1234

Hagan



Office Action Summary

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep	ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir	te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ig date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance excep closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-48</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-48</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
_	Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2,3,4 20)	Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/618,500

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 9, 14 16, 18 23, and 34 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fordon.

Members #17 meet the bail handle limitation.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 13, 17, 24 33, and 40 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fordon in view of Overholt, et. al.

It would have ben obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the collapsible teaching set forth in Overholt in the construction of the device of Fordon, motivated by the compact storage achieved thereby.

5. Claims 37 - 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fordon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed a bag liner in the construction of the device of Fordon, motivated by cost. To have employed a ventilated inner

Application/Control Number: 09/618,500

Art Unit: 3727

container would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the intended contents.

6. The references to Shchamorov, Hsu, Russell, et. al., and Sanders, et. al. have been cited to further show related structure.

Steven M. Pollard

28 June 2001

Steven of poller

Steven Pollard
Primary Examiner